

Melrose is known for its "buy, improve, sell" model, akin to a private equity strategy, that has catapulted the company from its roots on AIM in 2003 to the FTSE 100.

These acquisitions have scaled up over the years, from smaller McKenchnie and Dynacast in 2005, up to FKI in 2008 and then large Elster in 2012 and Nortek in 2016, which gave management the confidence to aggressively pursue GKN in 2018.

Asked to compare Melrose with Halma, Russ Mould, investment director at AJ Bell says: "Melrose will buy the businesses, improve performance and often then sell them on, returning chunks of cash to shareholders and using some of the proceeds to fund the next deal.

"It therefore uses deals to create momentum, whereas Halma uses them to supplement it."

At beautifully boring Halma, looking at the ice-hockey stick share price graph shows the incremental and pretty smooth progress the company has made with its version of the buy-and-build approach from below 15p in 1988, to 10 times that level in 2001, reaching 1,500p in February this year and topping £20 just a few months after.

"Halma uses selective, bolt-on acquisitions of relatively small scale to supplement the organic growth generated by the core business, which has a strong regulatory driver thanks to the importance of hazard detection and life protection mechanisms and systems," says Mould.

"The company also generates a consistent stream of follow-on business from servicing its installed base of equipment."

With its share price record, fans among retail investors and in the City are not in short supply.

In the view of broker Shore Capital, "Halma is a great quality business that operates in secular growth markets with long-term drivers (increasing: health and safety regulation, demand for healthcare services in developing economies and demand for life-critical resources) and strong margins but the valuations continue to look stretched with a significant premium against peers".

While Halma shares, at p/e ratio of more than 32 times forecast earnings, are among the highest in the sector, the ShoreCap analysts believe the valuation "reflects expected growth rates and the high-quality nature of the business" and as such it is keeping its 'hold' rating.

Different but similar

The success of Halma's and Melrose's quite distinct models can be seen in their respective dividend records.

As Mould notes, Melrose returned £2.4bn to investors in 2016 after asset disposals, with its previous returns being the £373m in 2011 and £220m in 2007.

On Tuesday Halma hiked its interim dividend 7% as it looks to extend what is the longest dividend growth streak among current FTSE 100 firms, having upped its annual shareholder payout every year since 1979, Mould points out.

"The model is different from that of Melrose, in that cash returns have been consistent and increased steadily, as a result of steady increases in earnings and cash flow, rather than in occasional lump sums once turnaround programmes are finished and major transactions completed, as at Melrose."

With some analysts pointing to global business sentiment bottoming out, both companies could extend their records for some time to come.

Proactive Investors facilitate the largest global investor network across 4 continents in 4 languages. With a team of analysts, journalists & professional investors Proactive produce independent coverage on 1000's of companies across every sector for private

investors, private client brokers, fund managers and international investor communities.

Contact us +61 (0)2 9280 0700 action@proactiveinvestors.com.au

No investment advice

The Company is a publisher. You understand and agree that no content published on the Site constitutes a recommendation that any particular security, portfolio of securities, transaction, or investment strategy is suitable or advisable for any specific person. You understand that the Content on the Site is provided for information purposes only, and none of the information contained on the Site constitutes an offer, solicitation or recommendation to buy or sell a security. You understand that the Company receives either monetary or securities compensation for our services. We stand to benefit from any volume which any Content on the Site may generate.

You further understand that none of the information providers or their affiliates will advise you personally concerning the nature, potential, advisability, value, suitability or profitability of any particular security, portfolio of securities, transaction, investment, investment strategy, or other matter.

You understand that the Site may contain opinions from time to time with regard to securities mentioned in other products, including Company-related products, and that those opinions may be different from those obtained by using another product related to the Company. You understand and agree that contributors may write about securities in which they or their firms have a position, and that they may trade such securities for their own account. In cases where the position is held at the time of publication and such position is known to the Company, appropriate disclosure is made. However, you understand and agree that at the time of any transaction that you make, one or more contributors may have a position in the securities written about. You understand that price and other data is supplied by sources believed to be reliable, that the calculations herein are made using such data, and that neither such data nor such calculations are guaranteed by these sources, the Company, the information providers or any other person or entity, and may not be complete or accurate.

From time to time, reference may be made in our marketing materials to prior articles and opinions we have published. These references may be selective, may reference only a portion of an article or recommendation, and are likely not to be current. As markets change continuously, previously published information and data may not be current and should not be relied upon.

The Site does not, and is not intended to, provide investment, tax, accounting, legal or insurance advice, and is not and should not be construed as providing any of the foregoing. You should consult an attorney or other relevant professional regarding your specific legal, tax, investment or other needs as tailored to your specific situation.